SUWANNEE RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Governing Board

FROM: Robbie McKinney, Hydrologic Program Manager, Office of Water Resources

THRU: Hugh Thomas, Executive Director

DATE: February 28, 2025

RE: February 2025 Hydrologic Conditions Report

RAINFALL

- Districtwide average rainfall for the month was 2.20", which was about 42 percent lower than the 1932-2024 average of 3.79" (Table 1, Figure 1). The 12-month period ending February 28 reflected a Districtwide rainfall deficit of 0.64", which was a slight improvement to the 0.74" deficit seen at the end of January. District counties received between 1" and 3" of rainfall on average, with parts of Taylor, Jefferson, and Madison counties receiving more than 3.5" of rainfall (Figure 2).

- Overall, a 12-month rainfall surplus was present in 3 of the basins, with the Aucilla Basin transitioning from a deficit to a surplus at the end of February (Figure 3). Areas of 12-month surpluses greater than 9" were represented in each basin except the Santa Fe, while deficits greater than 9" were observed in parts of each basin except the Aucilla and Coastal. Each of the river basins showed overall 3-month rainfall deficits, with the Aucilla Basin transitioning from a surplus to a deficit by the end of February (Figure 4). Over the past 3 months, the Aucilla, Coastal, and Suwannee basins showed portions with surpluses greater than 1", while areas with greater than 5" of rainfall deficits were concentrated mainly in the Suwannee, Waccasassa, and Coastal basins.

SURFACE WATER

- **Rivers:** Most of the river gages in Figure 5 finished the month in the normal (25^{th} – 75^{th} percentile) flow range, with only the Ichetucknee River exhibiting flows exclusively in the above normal (75^{th} – 100^{th} percentile) range this month. Monitored river gages in South Georgia and North Florida excluding the Aucilla at Lamont, Steinhatchee, and New River, which saw above normal (75^{th} – 90^{th} percentile) flows at the beginning of February, began and ended the month in the normal flow range (Figure 6).

- **Lakes**: Water levels decreased at a majority of the monitored lakes in the District this month (Figure 7). The median decrease in stage across all measured lakes was < 0.1', with 5 of the monitored lakes ending the month of February below their respective long-term average. Alligator Lake represented the largest water level decrease with a drop of 0.6' since last month**.** Conversely, Sneads Smokehouse Lake saw a stage increase of 0.6' due to locally heavy rainfall this past month.

- **Springs**: Flow measurements were made at 11 springs in February by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), District staff, and contractors. The Wacissa River saw flows within the normal range throughout February (Figure 8). Blue Hole, on the other hand, had mostly below normal (10^{th} – 25^{th} percentile) and low (<10^{th} percentile) flows this month (Figure 9).

GROUNDWATER

Upper Floridan Aquifer (UFA) levels across the District reflected normal (25th – 75th percentile), high (75th – 90th percentile), and extremely high (>90th percentile) levels this month (Figure 10). Overall, groundwater levels increased by a median of less than 0.1' since the end of January and ended February with a Districtwide average around the 73rd percentile.

Each of the index wells except Cross City remained higher than its respective historical monthly average level at the end of the month (Figure 11). Long-term District UFA well levels ended February in the normal or high categories (Figure 12a). Monitored long-term wells with records that extend back to at least 1964 showed slightly decreasing water levels this month relative to last month (Figure 12b).

CLIMATE AND DROUGHT OUTLOOK

La Niña conditions are currently present and are expected to persist in the near-term, with a 66% chance of a transition back to ENSO-neutral during March to May 2025.

The NOAA three-month seasonal outlook suggests above normal temperatures along with below normal precipitation throughout the District from March to May 2025.

The U.S. Drought Monitor report released on Thursday, March 6th, shows Abnormally Dry (D0) conditions in all or parts of Dixie, Gilchrist, Levy, Alachua, Columbia, and Bradford counties. Additionally, parts of Levy County are also currently exhibiting Moderate Drought (D1) conditions.

CONSERVATION

Water conservation continues to be necessary to sustain healthy groundwater levels and flows in District springs and rivers. All users are urged to eliminate unnecessary uses. Landscape irrigation during Daylight Saving Time (March 9, 2025, to November 2, 2025) is limited to twice per week based on a District water conservation rule that applies to residential landscaping, public or commercial recreation areas, and businesses that are not regulated by a District-issued water use permit. Information about SRWMD's year-round conservation measures is available at http://www.srwmd.org/index.aspx?NID=337.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Hydrologic Conditions Report is a monthly combined effort between the Offices of Water Resources and Hydrologic Data Services data collection and review programs. Acknowledgment is made to the following staff for their contributions to the timely production of this report:

- Data Collection: Jamie Gaylord, Matthew Jordan, Dylan Mock, Gene Page, Kevin Posada, and Vince Robinson
- QA/QC and Reporting: Stephanie Armstrong, Susie Hetrick, Robbie McKinney, Brandi Sistrunk, and Mitch Valerio
- Administrative Support/Document Preparation/IT: Paul Buchanan, Bo Cameron, Tyler Jordan, Andrew Neel, and April Olive

This report is compiled in compliance with Chapter 40B-21.211, Florida Administrative Code, using rainfall (gage-adjusted radar-derived estimates), groundwater (121 wells), surface water (35 stations), and general information such as drought indices and forecasts. Data are provisional and updated as revised data become available. Data are available at http://www.mysuwanneeriver.com/507/Water-Data-Portal or upon request.

Table 1: Nexrad Monthly Rainfall Totals by County (inches)

County	February 2025	February Average*	Month % of Normal	Total Last 12 Months	Annual % of Normal*
Alachua	1.90	3.42	55%	46.75	89%
Baker	1.96	3.51	56%	50.30	95%
Bradford	1.84	3.38	55%	49.48	95%
Columbia	1.97	3.63	54%	52.29	99%
Dixie	1.72	3.56	48%	56.08	97%
Gilchrist	1.81	3.53	51%	50.83	93%
Hamilton	2.18	4.01	54%	55.15	106%
Jefferson	3.03	4.35	70%	54.22	97%
Lafayette	2.31	3.67	63%	56.50	102%
Levy	1.43	3.41	42%	53.33	95%
Madison	2.78	4.15	67%	56.66	106%
Suwannee	2.13	3.81	56%	57.25	108%
Taylor	2.95	3.86	76%	54.13	95%
Union	1.81	3.47	52%	50.23	95%

*Based on PRISM LT81 monthly rainfall averages by county (1927-2023)

February 2025 District Average	2.20
February Long-Term Average (1932-2024)	3.79
Historical 12-month Average (1932-2024)	54.76
Past 12-Month Total	54.12
12-Month Rainfall **Surplus**/Deficit	**-0.64**

Figure 1: Comparison of District-wide Monthly Rainfall



Figure 2: February 2025 SRWMD Gage-adjusted Radar Rainfall



Figure 3: 12 - Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin through February 28, 2025



12-Month Surplus (+) or Deficit (-) By Basin

	Feb 2025	Jan 2025
Aucilla	**+ 1.2**	- 0.3
Coastal	**+ 0.7**	+ 0.2
Santa Fe	**- 4.4**	- 4.2
Suwannee	**+ 0.3**	+ 0.4
Waccasassa	**- 1.5**	- 0.6

Figure 4: 3 - Month Rainfall Surplus/Deficit by River Basin through February 28, 2025



3-Month Surplus (+) or Deficit (-) By Basin

	Feb 2025	Jan 2025
Aucilla	- 1.7	+ 0.6
Coastal	- 1.4	- 1.1
Santa Fe	- 2.0	- 1.7
Suwannee	- 1.8	- 1.6
Waccasassa	- 4.1	- 3.6

Figure 5: Daily River Flow Statistics

March 1, 2024 through February 28, 2025















Figure 5, cont.: Daily River Flow Statistics

March 1, 2024 through February 28, 2025
















Figure 6:
Streamflow Conditions
February 2025
The Cody Scarp (or Escarpment) is an area of relatively steep topographical change that runs across north Florida. The geology above the Scarp consists of sandy soils over thick layers of mostly impermeable sediments such as clay. Streams are well-developed with dendritic (tree-like) drainage patterns. Because of the impermeable sediments, rainfall is collected in ever-growing surface streams as the land elevation falls. Below the Scarp, sandy soils overlay porous limestone. These areas are internally drained, meaning rainfall runs directly into the ground or into sinkholes instead of forming streams. In these areas, rainfall directly recharges the aquifer, which in turn discharges into rivers via springs and river bed seepage. The Scarp is important to the area's hydrology because it demarcates areas where streamflow is dependent almost entirely on recent rainfall and areas where streamflow is heavily influenced by groundwater.
Alapaha
Alapaha/
Alapaha
Little
Little River
Withla-
coochee
Ochlocknee/
Thomasville
Withla-
coochee
Upper
Suwannee
Withlacoochee/
Quitman
Alapaha/
Statenville
Fargo
Withlacoochee/
Pinetta
North Prong
St. Marys
St.Mark's
Aucilla
Alapaha/
Jennings
St.Marys
White
Springs
Aucilla/
Lamont
Ellaville
St.Marys/
Macclenny
Lower
Suwannee
Econfina
Fenholloway
Branford
Santa Fe
New River
Ft.White
Coastal
Steinhatchee
Worth-
ington
Springs
Graham
Rock Bluff
St.Johns
Wacca-
sassa
The Suwannee River basin encompasses 9,973 square miles, 59 percent of which lies in Georgia. Gage records in the Suwannee basin go back as far as 1906 in the case of White Springs. Many gages have continuous records back to the 1920s. This figure compares streamflow at long-term monitoring gages on the first and last day of the month with past flows on the same dates.
Feb 1
Feb 28
Much Below Normal (<10th Percentile)
Below Normal (10 - 25)
Normal (25 - 75)
Above Normal (75 - 90)
High (>90th Percentile)
Cody Scarp
Basin Boundary
Aucilla River Basin
Coastal Rivers Basin
Waccasassa River Basin
Suwannee River Basin
These gages are operated by the USGS and funded by a number of private and public entities, including the SRWMD, SJRWMD, FDEP, Nestle Waters North America, Packaging Corporation of America, and the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division (EPD).

Figure 7: February 2025 Lake Levels



SRWMD lakes react differently to climatic changes depending on their location in the landscape. Some lakes, in particular in the eastern part of the District, are embedded in a surficial or intermediate aquifer over relatively impermeable clay deposits. These lakes rise and fall according to local rainfall and surface runoff. They retain water during severe droughts since most losses occur from evaporation. Other lakes, such as Governor Hill and Waters Lake, have porous or "leaky" bottoms that interact with the Floridan aquifer. These lakes depend on groundwater levels to stay high. If aquifer levels are low, these lakes go dry even if rainfall is normal.

The District currently monitors 14 lakes on a long-term basis; much of the data was originally provided by volunteer observers. Monitoring records began in the 1970s, except for Lakes Butler, Sampson, and Santa Fe, which started in 1957.



Figure 8: Flow Over the Past 12 Months, Wacissa River (cubic feet per second)



Figure 9: Flow Over the Past 12 Months, Blue Hole (cubic feet per second)





Figure 10

End of February 2025 Upper Floridan Aquifer Conditions

Statistics for wells compared to historical records beginning no earlier than 1976



Inset: January Groundwater Percentiles

Additional wells courtesy of SJRWMD, SWFWMD and USGS

- Extremely High (Greater than 90th Percentile)
- High (75th to 90th Percentile)
- Normal (25th to 75th Percentile)
- Low (10th to 25th Percentile)
- Extremely Low (Less than 10th Percentile)
- Increase/decrease in level since last month
- Increase/decrease since last month less than one percent of historic range
- District Boundary

Figure 11: Monthly Groundwater Statistics

Levels March 2024 through February 2025
Period of Record Beginning 1976



Madison County N010719001
near Greenville



Suwannee County S021335001
near Live Oak



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet

Columbia County S041705001
Lake City



Hamilton County N011422007
near Jasper



Lafayette County S061114001
near Mayo



Taylor County S040736005
Perry



Figure 11, cont.: Monthly Groundwater Statistics

Levels March 2024 through February 2025
Period of Record Beginning 1976



Union County S051933001
near Lake Butler



Alachua County S081703001
at High Springs



Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet

Gilchrist County S091607001
near Trenton



Bradford County S072132001
near Graham



Dixie County S101210001
at Cross City



Levy County S131736001
near Bronson





Figure 12a:

Upper Floridan Aquifer
Long Term Monitor Wells
end of February 2025

Many agencies in addition to the SRWMD monitor water levels in the Floridan aquifer. However, among the hundreds of monitor wells across Florida and Georgia relatively few have continuous records earlier than the 1980s. Most of the wells shown here have records that begin before the 1960's.

Soil characteristics and underlying geology are important indicators of recharge to the Floridan aquifer. High recharge areas shown on this map are associated with unconfined conditions, generally porous sands and limerock. Recharge in confined areas is restricted by nearly impermeable deposits such as clay.

The Floridan aquifer system underlies Florida and parts of Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina. It is one of the most productive aquifers in the world. In the SRWMD and surrounding areas it is the primary source of irrigation and domestic drinking water, as well as the source of freshwater springs that sustain river flow during droughts.

Map and confinement data source: Bellino, J.C., 2011, Digital surfaces and hydrogeologic data for the Floridan aquifer system in Florida and in parts of Georgia, Alabama, and South Carolina. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 584

Occurrence of Confined and Unconfined Conditions in the Upper Floridan Aquifer

- Confined: Upper confining unit is generally greater than 100 feet thick and unbreached. Recharge is low.
- Semi-confined: Upper confining unit is generally less than 100 feet thick, breached, or both. Recharge is moderate.
- Unconfined: Upper confining unit is absent or very thin. Recharge is high.
- SRWMD Boundary

Percentile of Most Recent Water Level Relative to Entire Record

- Very High (Greater than 90th Percentile)
- High (75th to 90th Percentile)
- Normal (25th to 75th Percentile)
- Low (10th to 25th Percentile)
- Very Low (Less than 10th Percentile)
- Data Not Available

Figure 12b: Regional Long Term Upper Floridan Aquifer Levels

Data through February 2025













Upper Floridan Aquifer Elevation above NGVD 1929, Feet